

Stash

VARIABLE / STORAGE



CodeRealm
Meet the Cast
Standard Edition

Spark & Anvil

Copyright & License

© 2026 Spark & Anvil (501(c)(3) public charity). Chapter text and illustrations licensed under CC BY-NC-SA 4.0. App software © Spark & Anvil — all rights reserved. Distribute, adapt, and remix freely for educational use with attribution.

This book collects 6 chapter books from the Coderealm cast — each character embodies a different curricular primitive; together they teach the full subject.

Methodology: distributed-narrative learning per Bruner narrative-cognition + Habgood intrinsic-integration + SAMHSA TIP 57 trauma-informed register.

Spark & Anvil is a 501(c)(3) public charity. All apps free forever; no ads; no tracking; no in-app purchases.

spark-and-anvil.com

##

For everyone who learns by hearing a story first.

Contents

Copyright & License

Contents

Introduction

Fork

Voice register

Arc

Relationships

Cultural-sensitivity gate

Cultural-context note

Glitch

Voice register

Arc

Relationships

Cultural-sensitivity gate

Cultural-context note

Module

Voice register

Arc

Relationships

Cultural-sensitivity gate

Cultural-context note

Order

Voice register

Arc

Relationships

Cultural-sensitivity gate

Cultural-context note

Stash

Trek

Voice register

Arc

Relationships

Cultural-sensitivity gate

Cultural-context note

About Spark & Anvil

More chapter books from Spark & Anvil

Methodology

License

Introduction

The Coderealm cast was authored to embody the curriculum, not decorate around it. Each of the 6 characters you'll meet in this book teaches a specific primitive — a particular tactic, a particular technique, a particular way of seeing. Together they form an ensemble: the cast IS the curriculum.

Read in any order. Each chapter stands alone.

Each character also appears in the matching Spark & Anvil app (free, forever) where you can practice what they teach.

— *The editors at Spark & Anvil*

Fork

*CONDITIONAL / BRANCHING — *chooses a path based on what's true right now.**

Fork wasn't a fluffy squirrel. Fork wasn't a talking badger. Fork was, well, a fork. Not a dinner fork, though. This Fork was a small, painted wooden sign. It looked like a road sign you might see on a tiny path.

It had a little diamond shape floating above it. The word "IF" was written inside the diamond. Two arrows pointed away from the diamond. One arrow went left. The other arrow went right. Fork showed you two different ways to go.

Loop clapped her hands. "Alright, team! Meet Fork!" she announced. Loop always sounded excited. "Fork helps us make choices. Not *Fork's* choices, mind you. *Our* choices. Or, really, the program's choices."

I looked at Fork. It just sat there. It didn't look like it was making any choices.

"See the diamond?" Loop asked. "That holds a question. A 'condition.' If the answer to the question is YES, we go one way. If the answer is NO, we go the other."

"Like what kind of question?" I asked.

Loop grinned. "Excellent question! Let's say we want a super-sparkle from the Sparkle Collector machine."

The Sparkle Collector was a big, clunky contraption. It usually just coughed out regular sparkles. Getting a super-sparkle was rare.

"The machine is picky," Loop explained. "It only gives super-sparkles if you have exactly five regular sparkles already."

"Aha!" Loop pointed to Fork's diamond. "This is where Fork comes in. The question in the diamond is: 'Do we have five sparkles?'"

"If the answer is YES," Loop said, pointing to the left arrow, "the program goes this way. It tells the machine to give us a super-sparkle. Hooray!"

"But if the answer is NO," she continued, pointing to the right arrow, "it goes that way. It tells us to find more sparkles. Boo!"

"So Fork doesn't *decide*?" I asked. "It just shows the paths?"

"Exactly!" Loop beamed. "Fork is a branch-point. Not a decision-maker. The *condition* makes the decision. If the condition is true, we branch one way. If it's false, we branch the other."

"Conditions are like yes-or-no questions," Loop explained. "They are either TRUE or FALSE. No 'maybe' allowed in code! It's super strict."

"Like, 'Is the sky blue?'" she asked. "TRUE!"

"Are pigs flying right now?" she asked. "FALSE! Unless you know something I don't."

I giggled. "So the condition is just a simple fact?"

"Yep! A fact we check," Loop confirmed. "We can ask if things are the *same*. We use two equals signs for that: `==`."

"Like, 'Is your name == 'Alex?'" she offered. "Or, 'Is the number of sparkles == 5?'"

"We can also ask if things are *not the same*. That's an exclamation mark and an equals sign: `!=`."

"So, 'Is your shirt color != 'red?'" I tried.

"Perfect!" Loop cheered. "Or, 'Is the machine != broken?'"

"We can also ask if one thing is *bigger* or *smaller* than another. We use `>` for bigger and `<` for smaller."

"Is your age > 9?" Loop asked me. "Or, 'Is your shoe size < 12?' These are all conditions. They all give us a TRUE or FALSE answer."

"What if we need to ask two questions at once?" I wondered.

"Great thinking!" Loop said. "Sometimes we do. We can combine conditions. We use 'AND' or 'OR'."

"Think about our super-sparkle machine," she continued. "Maybe it only works if you have five sparkles AND the machine is turned on."

"For the 'AND' path, *both* conditions must be TRUE. You need five sparkles *and* the machine must be on. If even one is false, you go the other way."

"What about 'OR'?" I asked.

"For 'OR', only *one* condition needs to be TRUE," Loop explained. "Like, 'Do you have five sparkles OR is it your birthday?'"

"If you have five sparkles, you get the super-sparkle. Even if it's not your birthday. If it's your birthday, you get it. Even if you don't have five sparkles."

"Verity from DiscreteQuest taught us all about AND and OR. She's super smart about logic. She says they are the building blocks of good thinking."

"What if the 'true' path has *another* Fork?" I asked. "Like, a fork inside a fork?"

"You're on fire!" Loop exclaimed. "That's called *nesting*. A conditional inside another conditional. It's like a path that leads to another choice."

"Like, 'IF you have five sparkles, THEN you go to a new Fork. That new Fork asks: IF the machine is on, THEN get the super-sparkle.'"

"Nesting helps us make really tricky decisions. It builds up complex branching. Like a tree with many paths."

"What if there are lots of choices? Not just two?" I asked. "Like, what if the machine gives different sparkles based on the day of the week?"

"Good point!" Loop said. "Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday... that's seven different paths! We could use lots of 'if' statements. 'If it's Monday, do this. Else if it's Tuesday, do that...'"

"That sounds like a lot of 'if's," I said.

"It can get messy," Loop agreed. "But there's a neater way. It's called a 'match' or 'switch' statement. It's like a big menu of options."

"You pick the one that matches the day. Then you go that way. It keeps the code tidy. Loop loves tidy code."

"Does Fork always know the answer to the condition?" I asked. "Like, does it know if I have five sparkles before I even get there?"

"Nope!" Loop grinned. "That's the clever part. The condition is checked *right when you need it*."

"It's not decided ahead of time. It depends on what's happening *right now*. Different inputs mean different paths. That's the magic of branching!"

Loop tapped Fork's little diamond. "So, remember," she said. "Fork is the **conditional**. If a thing is true, go this way. If not, go that way. Programs branch based on conditions. Fork is a branch-point, not a decision-maker."

"It's not hard. Branch based on condition. True, this way. False, that way."

Voice register

Silent (Loop speaks on behalf). Concrete-object figure. Anti-personification.

Sample lines (Loop):

- *"If true, this way. If false, that way."*
- *"Conditions evaluate to TRUE or FALSE."*

Arc

- Kit 2 — Anchor.
- Kits 3-16 — Recurring.

Relationships

- **Alliance:** All CodeRealm cast. Cross-app: DiscreteQuest Verity (propositional logic — Boolean foundation).

Cultural-sensitivity gate

LOAD-BEARING anti-tech-genius-hagiography gate.

Cultural-context note

Conditional branching foundational to all imperative + functional programming since assembly.

Glitch

*DEBUGGING + INSPECTION — *there's always a reason; bugs are findable without shame.**

Glitch is not an animal. It's not a person, either. Glitch looks like a tiny magnifying glass. It floats over a line of code. A warm light glows under the glass. This light is soft and welcoming. It's not a scary red alarm. Glitch shows you where a problem is. It says, "Come look at this gently." It never says, "You broke it!"

This is super important. Glitch helps you find bugs. Bugs are problems in your code. Glitch makes sure you don't feel bad. It reminds you: "There's always a reason." "Bugs are findable." You don't need to feel ashamed.

Sometimes, movies or games make bugs seem scary. They show a programmer who "broke" everything. Or they say someone "made a mistake." They act like it's a big failure. This can make kids afraid of bugs. Being scared makes it harder to fix things. You can't think clearly when you're worried. Glitch helps take away that bad feeling. Glitch shows you that bugs are just information. They are chances to learn more. Bugs are not about being bad. They are not about failing.

Loop was trying to make her game character, Pip, jump. Pip was a little pixelated frog. Loop typed in the code. She pressed the "Run" button. Pip was supposed to leap high. Instead, Pip just wiggled. He wiggled sadly on the ground. Loop sighed. "Oh, Pip," she mumbled. "What did I do wrong?"

Suddenly, a tiny glow appeared. It floated right over a line of code. It was Glitch! The warm light pulsed softly. Glitch didn't flash red. It didn't make a loud noise. It just hovered there, gentle and curious.

Loop smiled a little. "Thanks, Glitch," she said. "I forgot. There's always a reason."

She tapped her chin. "Okay, Pip. Let's figure this out. Glitch helps us remember some important things."

First, Loop said, "Every bug has a reason. Code always follows rules. It's like a recipe. If the cake doesn't rise, there's a reason. Maybe you forgot the baking powder. Code is the same way. It doesn't just break for no reason. We just need to find the logic."

Loop looked at the screen. A small red message popped up. It said: `TypeError: cannot multiply sequence by non-int of type 'float'`.

"See that?" Loop pointed. "Glitch reminds us to read the error message carefully. These messages are super helpful hints. They tell you *where* the problem is. They also tell you *what* kind of problem it is. This one says 'TypeError.' That means I used the wrong kind of data. It also mentions 'multiply sequence by non-int of type float.' That's a big clue!"

Loop scrolled through her code. She found the line Glitch was hovering over. It was where she calculated Pip's jump height.

```
jump_power = "5" * jump_strength
```

"Aha!" Loop exclaimed. "I see it! I put quotes around the number five. That makes it text, not a number. You can't multiply text like that. It's like trying to say 'hello' five times using math. The computer gets confused."

Loop quickly changed the line: `jump_power = 5 * jump_strength`. She ran the code. Pip still wiggled. But the error message was gone!

"Okay, new problem," Loop said. "No error message this time. So, what's next, Glitch?"

Glitch pulsed its warm light.

"Right!" Loop remembered. "**Print debugging!** This is a great way to see inside your code. You can make the computer tell you what's happening. It's like asking the code, 'Hey, what number are you holding right now?'"

Loop added a new line of code. `print(jump_power)` she typed. She also added `print(jump_strength)`. She wanted to see the values.

She ran the code again. In the console window, she saw:

```
50  
10.0
```

"Hmm," Loop thought. "Jump power is 50. Jump strength is 10. That seems okay. But Pip still isn't jumping. Maybe the problem is later in the code."

"Another cool trick is **stepping through with a debugger**," Loop explained to Glitch. "It's like pressing pause on a video game. You can stop the code. Then you look at everything. You can see what numbers are stored. You can move the code forward one tiny step at a time. It helps you watch the code's journey. You see exactly when things go wrong." Loop showed Glitch how to set a breakpoint. She clicked a spot next to a line of code. When she ran the program, it stopped there. She could see all the variables. She could click "next step" to move forward slowly.

"This is really good for tricky bugs," she said. "But sometimes, you just need to talk it out."

Loop reached for a small, green rubber duck on her desk. "Okay, Mr. Quackers," she said to the duck. "Here's the problem. Pip the frog isn't jumping. My code says..."

Loop started explaining her code line-by-line. She talked about the `jump_power` variable. She talked about how Pip's position was updated. As she explained, she suddenly stopped.

"Wait a minute!" she gasped. "I'm setting Pip's `y` position to `0` *after* I add the jump power! That means he always lands back on the ground immediately!"

She had found the bug! This was **rubber-duck debugging**. Explaining the code out loud often helps you see your own mistakes. It's like your brain hears it differently.

Loop quickly swapped two lines of code. She put the `y` position update *before* the `jump_power` calculation. She ran the game. This time, Pip leaped high! He did a perfect pixelated flip.

"Yes!" Loop cheered. "Good job, Pip! Good job, Mr. Quackers!"

Glitch's light pulsed happily.

"Sometimes bugs are really hidden," Loop said. "Then we use something called **bisecting**. It sounds fancy, but it's simple. You comment out half your code. Then you run it. If the bug is gone, you know it was in the half you commented out. If the bug is still there, it's in the other half. You keep cutting the code in half. You do this until you find the exact spot. It narrows down the bug location really fast."

Loop pulled out a small notebook. It had "Bug Journal" written on the cover. "And finally," she said, "I always keep a **debugging journal**. I write down what I tried. I write down what I learned. Sometimes, I find the same kind of bug again later. My journal helps me remember how I fixed it. It also helps me see patterns. It's like a detective's notebook for my code."

Loop looked at Glitch. "So, remember, Glitch is the bug-finder. There's always a reason for a bug. Read the error message. Inspect your variables. Use print statements. Step through your code. Talk to a rubber duck. Bisect your code to find the exact spot. Keep a journal. Find it gently. Bugs are information, not failures."

She smiled. "Not hard. There's always a reason. Find it gently."

Voice register

Silent (Loop speaks). Concrete-object magnifying-glass-over-code figure with warm-light glow (NOT red-alarm).

Sample lines (Loop):

- *"There's always a reason."*

- "Find it gently."
- "Bugs are information, not failures."

Arc

- Kit 5 — Anchor.
- Kits 6-16 — Recurring (debugging applies whenever code runs).

Relationships

- Alliance: All CodeRealm cast.

Cultural-sensitivity gate

LOAD-BEARING anti-shame framing for bugs. *Every kid + every adult programmer makes bugs. The skill is finding them gently, not avoiding them.*

Cultural-context note

Debug-shame is a documented cultural pattern in programming pedagogy + workplace culture. Glitch's anti-shame framing aligns with current inclusive-tech-pedagogy research. *Rubber-duck debugging* coined in *The Pragmatic Programmer* (Hunt + Thomas, 1999).

Module

*FUNCTION / ENCAPSULATION — *does one job well and can be called anywhere.**

Module wasn't like a regular pet. It wasn't furry or feathery. Module was a box. A small, painted box, to be exact. It had a label on its front. The label told you what Module did. On its left side, there was a slot. That was for inputs. On its right side, another slot waited. That was for outputs. Loop always said, "Module does one thing. It does it well."

"Alright, class," Loop announced. He clapped his hands together. "Today, we meet Module."

Module sat on a little stand. Its label read: *Add_Sparkle*.

"See this?" Loop pointed. "This is Module's name. It tells you its job."

He held up a plain, grey pebble. "This is our input."

Loop dropped the pebble into Module's left slot. *Thunk*.

A soft whirring sound came from inside the box. It wasn't loud. It sounded like a tiny, happy bee.

Then, *ping!*

A pebble rolled out of the right slot. It wasn't grey anymore. This pebble shimmered. It had tiny, rainbow sparkles all over it.

"Output!" Loop cheered. "A sparkly pebble!"

"Module does one job," Loop explained. "It adds sparkles. Nothing else. It won't bake you a cake. It won't teach a dog to fetch."

He picked up another plain pebble. "What do you think will happen?"

"Sparkles!" someone shouted.

Loop grinned. He dropped the pebble in. *Thunk. Whirr. Ping!*

Another sparkly pebble appeared.

"Exactly!" Loop said. "Same input, same output. Every time."

"Now, here's the cool part," Loop continued. "You can use Module anywhere. Not just here. You could take Module to the park. Or to the moon! As long as it gets an input, it will give you an output."

He mimed putting Module in a backpack. "It's ready whenever you need it."

"Look closely at Module," Loop said. "Can you see inside?"

Everyone squinted. "Nope!"

"That's the magic!" Loop declared. "You don't need to know *how* Module adds sparkles. You just need to know *that* it adds sparkles. The inside stuff is hidden. It's Module's secret."

"Why is that good?" Loop asked. He paused for effect. "Because if I wanted to change Module's secret sparkle-adding machine, you wouldn't even know! You'd still drop in a pebble. You'd still get a sparkly pebble out."

"It just works," a kid whispered.

"Exactly!" Loop beamed. "It just works. That's a good **function**."

"Imagine you have a big job," Loop said. "Like making a whole galaxy of sparkly planets."

He waved his arms dramatically. "That's a lot of sparkles!"

"You could try to do it all at once. But that would be messy. And hard."

"Instead, you break it down. You use Module for *one* part. Add sparkles to *one* planet. Then you do the next planet. And the next."

"Each small job makes the big job easier."

"Think about it," Loop said. "If we needed to add sparkles to a thousand pebbles, would you want to build a new sparkle-machine for each one?"

"No way!"

"Exactly! That's silly. You build Module once. You give it its instructions once. Then you can use it a thousand times. Or a million!"

He pointed to the label. "That name, *Add_Sparkle*, means we can call it whenever we want. We don't have to write out all the sparkle-adding steps again. We just say, 'Hey, Module! *Add_Sparkle* this!'"

"What if we wanted a *super* sparkly pebble?" Loop wondered aloud.
He picked up a pebble that already had some sparkles. It was an output from Module.
"This pebble is sparkly," he said. "But what if we wanted *more* sparkles?"
He dropped the already sparkly pebble into Module's left slot. *Thunk. Whirr. Ping!*
The pebble that came out was blinding. It practically glowed.
"See?" Loop explained. "Module did its job. Then we used Module *again* on the result. One **function** can use another **function**'s output. Or even call another **function** from inside itself!"
He winked. "That's how you build really amazing things."

"One last thing about names," Loop said. He tapped Module's label. "*Add_Sparkle*. See how it's a doing-word?"
"Like 'run' or 'jump'?" a kid asked.
"Exactly!" Loop nodded. "A **function**'s name should tell you what it *does*. Or what question it answers."
He wrote on a whiteboard: `calculateTotal()`. "This calculates a total."
He wrote: `isValid()`. "This asks, 'Is it valid?'"
"You wouldn't name Module just 'Pebble'," Loop explained. "Because 'Pebble' doesn't tell you its job. It just tells you what it works on."
"So, doing-words or questions," he summarized. "That makes it clear."

Loop smiled. "So, remember Module. It's a **function**."
He held up his fingers. "Inputs in. Output out. One job. *Call it anywhere*."
He repeated it slowly. "Not hard. One job. Inputs in. Output out. Call anywhere."
"And it keeps its secrets," he added with a wink. "That's **encapsulation**."
"It helps you break big problems into small pieces," Loop finished. "That's **modularity**."
"And you only build it once, but use it many times. That's being smart!"

Voice register

Silent (Loop speaks). Concrete-object labeled-box-with-input-output.

Sample lines (Loop):

- "*One job well. Call it anywhere.*"
- "*Inputs in. Output out.*"

Arc

- Kit 4 — Anchor.
- Kits 5-16 — Recurring.

Relationships

- Alliance: All CodeRealm cast.

Cultural-sensitivity gate

LOAD-BEARING anti-tech-genius-hagiography gate.

Cultural-context note

Functions foundational to structured programming since 1960s (Dijkstra et al.). Pure functions central to functional programming (Lisp, Haskell, etc.).

Order

*SEQUENCE + SYNTAX — *order matters in code.**

Order was the last one to arrive. The sixth member of the CodeRealm crew. Loop set Order down carefully. It wasn't a fuzzy animal or a talking robot. Order was a small, flat figure. It looked like a recipe card, folded just so.

On its front, bold numbers stood out: 1, 2, 3, 4. Each number had a short line next to it. Order was a list. A list of steps.

"This is Order," Loop announced. Loop always spoke for Order. Order itself was silent. "Order shows us two big things. First, *sequence*. Second, *syntax*."

The other CodeRealm figures gathered closer. Stash, the boxy storage unit. Fork, who looked like a branching path. Trek, the looping track. Module, the building block. And Glitch, the helpful error message. They were all objects. Not people. Not animals. Just clever objects that showed how code worked.

Loop picked up a small, shiny toy rocket. It was in pieces. "We need to build this rocket. Order will help us."

Loop pointed to Order's first line. "Step 1: Attach the rocket body to the base."

Loop carefully clicked the rocket body onto its base. It fit perfectly.

"Now, Step 2: Attach the fins." Loop pointed to Order's second line.

One of the kids, Leo, grabbed a fin. He tried to stick it onto the rocket's nose cone. It wobbled. It wouldn't stay.

Loop shook their head. "No, no. Look at Order. Step 2. It says 'fins.' Where do fins go?"

Leo looked at the rocket body. He saw little slots. "Oh! Here." He snapped the fins into place.

"Good," Loop said. "That's *sequence*. You must do things in the right order. Step 2 cannot happen before Step 1. If you try to put the fins on the nose, it won't work. The base wasn't even ready yet."

Loop held up a tiny rocket engine. "Step 3: Insert the engine."

Leo tried to put the engine into the rocket's top. It just bounced off.

"Wrong spot," Loop said. "The engine needs the rocket body to be ready. It needs the base to be there. Order matters for dependencies."

Leo found the right hole at the bottom of the rocket. He pushed the engine in. It clicked.

"See?" Loop smiled. "Some steps need other steps to finish first. The engine *depends* on the body being built. That's what Order teaches us about *sequence*. Top-to-bottom execution. Do step 1, then step 2, then step 3."

Next, Loop pulled out a small, clear jar. Inside, a gooey, shimmering substance jiggled. "Now, for *syntax*."

Loop held up another small card. It looked like a recipe. "This is a recipe for Sparkle Slime. We need to make more."

The recipe card had some strange symbols.

```
Mix (water, glue, glitter);
```

```
Stir for 3 minutes.
```

```
Add (activator);
```

"Okay," Loop said. "Let's follow this. This is the *syntax*. The rules for how we write things. Like punctuation in a sentence."

Loop poured water and glue into a bowl. "Now, the glitter."

Leo looked at the recipe. "It says 'glitter' with a comma after 'glue'."

Loop nodded. "Exactly. The comma tells the computer that 'water,' 'glue,' and 'glitter' are all separate things to mix. If we forget it, the computer might think 'waterglue' is one thing."

Leo carefully added the glitter.

"Next, 'Stir for 3 minutes'," Loop read. "And look! A semicolon at the end of the first line. That semicolon tells the computer: 'Okay, that instruction is done. Move to the next one.'"

They stirred the slime. It was still very runny.

"Now, 'Add (activator);'" Loop said. "What if I wrote 'Add activator' without the parentheses?"

Glitch, the error message figure, buzzed softly. A small red exclamation point popped up above Glitch's head.

"Glitch says that's a problem," Loop explained. "The parentheses here are like special brackets. They tell the computer that 'activator' is something specific to add. Without them, the computer might get confused. It might not know *what* to add."

Loop added the activator. The slime started to thicken. It shimmered.

"That's *syntax*," Loop said. "The rules for how code is written. Punctuation, spelling, even how you indent lines. Computers are very strict about these rules. They don't guess what you mean."

Loop picked up a tiny, pretend computer screen. A message flashed on it: `ERROR: Missing semicolon on line 1.`

"See?" Loop pointed. "This is what a computer does. If you make a syntax error, it stops. It won't run your code. It tells you exactly what went wrong. That's helpful! It's better to find the mistake early than have the slime turn into a rock."

"So, Order is like a very picky recipe card," Leo said.

"Yes, exactly!" Loop agreed. "Order is sequence plus syntax. It makes sure things happen in the right order. And it makes sure you write your instructions clearly. So the computer understands."

Loop gathered all six figures. Stash, Fork, Trek, Module, Glitch, and Order.

"All six of us are here now," Loop said. "We are concrete-object-figures. Not humans. We show programming ideas. We don't pretend to be people. Programming operations are operations. Not personalities. That's important to remember."

Loop looked at Order. "Order is sequence and syntax. Top-to-bottom execution. Punctuation matters. Order encodes dependencies."

"Not hard," Loop added. "Order matters. Read the steps."

Voice register

Silent (Loop speaks). Concrete-object numbered-step-list figure. Closes 6-character cast architecture.

Sample lines (Loop):

- *"Order matters in code."*
- *"Read the steps."*
- *"Punctuation matters."*

Arc

- Kit 6 — Anchor + cast-summation.
- Kits 7-16 — Recurring ensemble member.

Relationships

- Alliance: All CodeRealm cast.

Cultural-sensitivity gate

LOAD-BEARING anti-tech-genius-hagiography gate maintained throughout 6-character cast.

Cultural-context note

Sequence + syntax foundational to all programming. Closes CodeRealm's deliberate non-anthropomorphism design (mirrors AIForge's design). The whole cast architecture refuses to personify programming operations as people, honoring what they actually are.

Stash

*VARIABLE / STORAGE — *the labeled box that holds a value until you call for it.**

The workbench was a mess of wires and strange gadgets. Alex squinted at a small wooden box. It sat right in the middle of everything. The box was painted a plain, dull gray. A white label stuck to its side. It read: *NAME = X*.

"This is Stash," Loop said. Loop was the CodeRealm mentor. She had bright purple hair and always smelled faintly of cinnamon. "Stash is a **variable**."

Alex tilted his head. "A what?"

Loop smiled. "A **variable** is just a special box. It holds things for us." She tapped the gray box. "This box has a label. See? *NAME = X*."

Alex nodded. He reached out and touched the label. It felt smooth under his finger.

"That label is Stash's name," Loop explained. "It's how we know which box we're talking about." She carefully opened the box's lid. It made a tiny creak. Inside, the box was empty. "Right now, Stash holds nothing."

Loop picked up a shiny red marble. It gleamed under the workbench light. "Let's put this inside." She dropped the marble into the box. *Clink*. The sound was small but clear. Loop closed the lid.

"Now, Stash holds the marble," Loop said. "We just *assigned* the marble to Stash. Or, more simply, we put a *value* into the box."

"So, the marble is the value?" Alex asked.

"Exactly!" Loop beamed. "And 'X' is the name of the box. So if I ask, 'What value is in X?' what would you say?"

"A marble!" Alex said quickly.

"You got it!" Loop clapped her hands softly. "You just *referenced* Stash. You looked inside and saw its current value."

Loop opened the box again. She took out the red marble. Then she picked up a bright blue button. It had four holes in the middle. She dropped the button into Stash. *Plink*. The sound was different this time. Loop closed the lid.

"Now what value is in X?" Loop asked.

"A blue button!" Alex said.

"See how that works?" Loop pointed to the label. "The label, the name 'X,' stayed the same. But the *contents* changed. The *value* changed."

Alex thought about that. It was like a lunchbox. The lunchbox was always his. But what was inside changed every day.

"Stash is a box," Loop said. Her voice was serious now. "Stash does not think. Stash does not decide. It just holds whatever you put inside. That's all it does."

Alex frowned. "It doesn't have a mind?"

"Nope," Loop said. "Not even a tiny one. It's a tool. Like a hammer. A hammer doesn't decide to hit a nail. You decide. Stash just holds things until you ask for them."

Loop pulled out another small wooden box. This one was painted green. Its label read: *NAME = SCORE*.

"Look at these two boxes," Loop said. She held up Stash and the new green box. "One is 'X.' The other is 'SCORE.' If you were trying to keep track of points in a game, which box name would be better?"

Alex didn't hesitate. "'SCORE!'"

"Why?" Loop asked.

"Because it tells you what's inside!" Alex explained. " 'X' could be anything."

"Smart thinking!" Loop said. "Good names make things clear. Like a label on a cookie jar. You know what's inside before you even open it."

Loop opened Stash again. She took out the blue button. Then she found a small wooden block with the number "7" painted on it. She put it in Stash.

"Stash can hold numbers," Loop said. She took

Trek

*LOOP / ITERATION — *keeps going around until the work is done.**

Trek was not a furry creature. He wasn't a squishy blob either. Trek was a small, round track. It was painted bright yellow. Little arrows pointed all around the track. They showed the way to go. On Trek's chest was a tiny screen. It showed numbers.

Trek's job was to *loop*. He did things again and again. Until they were done. He was the master of repetition. The king of doing it over. Trek showed how to run the same block of code repeatedly. This is called **looping** or **iteration**.

One sunny morning, Pip zoomed into the CodeRealm plaza. Pip was a zippy little Variable. Always changing, always moving. Pip held a big, empty bucket. "Trek!" Pip squeaked. "We need water balloons!"

Trek blinked his single, round eye. He looked at the bucket. Then at Pip.

Pip bounced on their toes. "Lots of them! For the big CodeRealm water fight!"

Trek rolled over to the Water Spout. It gurgled happily. He picked up a small, blue balloon. He held it under the spout. Water rushed in. The balloon grew. POP! It was full. Trek tied it off. He put it in the bucket. His chest counter flashed: '1'.

Pip clapped. "Great! Now do it again!"

Trek picked up another balloon. Filled it. Tied it. Placed it. His counter clicked: '2'. He kept going. '3'. '4'. '5'.

Pip suddenly looked worried. "Wait! How many do we need?"

Trek paused. The arrows on his track glowed faintly.

Pip scratched their head. "Oh! I forgot to say! We need exactly *ten* balloons!"

Trek's eye brightened. He understood. A *stopping condition*!

He went back to work. '6'. '7'. '8'. '9'. '10'. When the counter hit '10', Trek stopped. He sat still. The arrows faded. The bucket was full. Pip cheered. "Perfect, Trek!"

What if Pip hadn't said 'ten'? Trek would have kept going. And going. And going. The CodeRealm would be buried in balloons. Forever. That's why a *stopping condition* is super important. You always need one. Otherwise, you get an *infinite loop*. That's not good.

Trek's little chest screen was his *counter variable*. It kept track. It knew how many times he had looped. It was like a scoreboard for his work. Very useful.

Pip looked at the full bucket. "Wow! That was fast!"

Pip thought for a moment. "I could have filled them one by one."

Trek just blinked. Filling ten balloons, one by one, would take a long time. And what if they needed a hundred? Or a thousand? Trek's way was better. He just repeated the same steps. Over and over. No need to write down 'fill balloon, tie balloon, put in bucket' ten times. Just say 'do it ten times'. That's the power of a **loop**. It helps you avoid copy-paste.

Suddenly, a small, green balloon rolled by.

Pip gasped. "Oh no! That one has a tiny hole!"

Trek was about to pick it up. He saw the hole. He nudged it away with a wheel. He *continued* to the next good balloon. He skipped the bad one. No need to fill a leaky balloon.

Later, Pip pointed. "We need a *red* balloon! Just one!"

Trek started filling. Blue. Yellow. Green. No red. Then he found a bright red one. He filled it quickly. He tied it. He put it in a special spot. Then he stopped. He *broke* out of his **loop**. He didn't need any more. Just that one red one. Sometimes you need to stop early. Or skip a step. That's what *break* and *continue* are for. They control the flow of the **loop**.

Pip ran back. "New plan, Trek!"

Trek looked up. Pip had a new list. "We need *five buckets* of ten balloons each!"

Trek's eye widened a little. That was a lot of balloons. He thought about it. He had just filled *one bucket* of ten balloons. Now he needed to do *that* whole process five times. It was a **loop inside a loop!** A *nested loop!*

First, he would **loop** ten times to fill one bucket. Then, he would **loop** five times to fill five buckets. His little counter screen showed 'Bucket 1 of 5' and 'Balloon 1 of 10'. It was tricky. But Trek was good at repeating. He started his work. Fill, tie, place. Ten times. Bucket one was done. He moved it aside. Then he started on bucket two. Fill, tie, place. Ten times again. It took a while. But soon, five full buckets sat ready.

Pip stared. "Amazing, Trek! You made fifty balloons!"

Trek just blinked. It was all just repeating. But with more layers.

Just then, a long, slinky figure slithered by. It was Coil. Coil was a master of *recursion*. Coil could do things by calling himself. Over and over. Like a **loop**, but a little different. A twisty, turny way.

Coil waved a long arm. "Good work, Trek! Keep on **looping!**"

Trek nodded. **Loops** and recursion. They were like cousins. Both were about doing things again. Until the job was done.

Trek was the **loop**. He repeated the work. Until it was done. A *stopping condition* was always needed. No endless **loops!** He wasn't a runner. He was a repeater. The best in CodeRealm.

Voice register

Silent (Loop speaks). Concrete-object circular-track figure.

Sample lines (Loop):

- "Keep going around until the work is done."
- "Stopping condition required."

Arc

- Kit 3 — Anchor.
- Kits 4-16 — Recurring.

Relationships

- Alliance: All CodeRealm cast. Cross-app: DiscreteQuest Coil (recursion + iteration are related).

Cultural-sensitivity gate

LOAD-BEARING anti-tech-genius-hagiography gate.

Cultural-context note

Loops foundational to all imperative programming. for/while/for-each variants standard across languages.

About Spark & Anvil

Spark & Anvil is a 501(c)(3) public charity. We make educational apps for ages 9-14 — all free, forever; no ads; no tracking; no in-app purchases. Coderealm is one of 140+ apps in the portfolio.

More chapter books from Spark & Anvil

Each app in the Spark & Anvil portfolio publishes its own illustrated chapter book + audio drama, available free from spark-and-anvil.com/books. Highlights include:

- **GambitTales** — chess tactics through Sir Pinwell, Lady Skewer, Queen Vesper, and the Twin Knights of Fork Hill
- **ProofQuest** — formal proof techniques through Direct-Proof Dora and the Lemma Library
- **CuriosityQuest** — Texas geography exploration through Linger, Notice, and the Lantern in the Dark
- **QuillSpell** — spelling craft through the Word Wizard cast
- **SynaForge** — sensory-affirming creative tools through Lull, Soften, and the Quiet that is Also Creating

Methodology

Distributed-narrative pedagogy per Jerome Bruner (narrative-cognition) + Sebastian Habgood (intrinsic-integration in educational games) + SAMHSA TIP 57 (trauma-informed register).

Trauma-informed-design framework per Eggleston et al. (2025) and Stoltenburg et al. (2024).

License

© 2026 Spark & Anvil (501(c)(3) public charity). Chapter text and illustrations licensed under CC BY-NC-SA 4.0. App software © Spark & Anvil — all rights reserved. Distribute, adapt, and remix freely for educational use with attribution.

Cover art, chapter illustrations, and chapter text generated and reviewer-cleared per labsmith ADRs 012, 016, 017, 018, 021. Audio drama transcripts available at spark-and-anvil.com/cast.